한국치위생교육학회지: 제8권 제4호, 2008 J Korean Acad Dental Hygiene Education Vol.8, No.4, 2008

## 경남지역 특수학교 학생의 구강내 세균검사 에 따른 영구치우식경험률에 관한 연구

: Streptococcus mutans, Lactobacillus, DMFT, DT, MT, FT

1. 서론 (Streptococcus mutans) (Lactobacillus) 3). Streptococcus mutans 가 가 рН 1) 가 . Lactobacilli 가 рΗ Lactobacilli count가 가 가 가

연락처: 조평규 우) 660-757 경남 진주시 상봉서동 진주보건대학 치위생과

전화: 055-740-1858, E-mail:ss2513@hanmail.net

가 가 Dentocult-SM LB kit 가 (70 가 가 가 가 2. 연구대상 및 방법 (Streptococcus mutans) (Lactobacillus) 2.1 연구대상 (DMFT), (DT), 가 (FT), (MT) 가 9%, 86.6%, 4.5%,

가 가

기가 . 6 134 · < 1> .

가 3%

,

표 1. 연구대상자의 일반적인 특성별 분포

| <u> </u> | 0/1/1 <u>2010</u> |         |       |    |       |    |       |
|----------|-------------------|---------|-------|----|-------|----|-------|
| Σ.       | 애유형               | <u></u> | 체     | 닡  | 자     | 0  | 자     |
| 0        | Мπε               | N N     | %     | N  | %     | N  | %     |
| 뇌병변      | 1급                | 6       | 4.5   | 4  | 5.4   | 2  | 3.3   |
|          | 1급                | 6       | 4.5   | 2  | 2.7   | 4  | 6.7   |
| 발달장애     | 2급                | 6       | 4.5   | 4  | 5.4   | 2  | 3.3   |
|          | 전체                | 12      | 9.0   | 6  | 8.1   | 6  | 10.0  |
|          | 1급                | 28      | 20.9  | 16 | 21.6  | 12 | 20.0  |
| 정시기체     | 2급                | 62      | 46.3  | 36 | 48.6  | 26 | 43.3  |
| 정신지체     | 3급                | 22      | 16.4  | 12 | 16.2  | 10 | 16.7  |
|          | 전체                | 112     | 83.6  | 64 | 86.5  | 48 | 80.0  |
| 청각장애     | 2급                | 4       | 3.0   | 0  | 0.0   | 4  | 6.7   |
| Total    |                   | 134     | 100.0 | 74 | 100.0 | 60 | 100.0 |

#### 2.2 연구방법 . Dentocult-LB

221 구강검사 . Cultute tube dipslide 37 Lactobacillus(LB count 가 (DMFT rate), (DT 2.3 분석방법 rate), (FT rate), (MT rate) SPSS 15.0 for Windows 222 Dentocult-SM과 LB검사 Dentocult-SM Dentocult-LB Dentocult-SM t site strip 1 1 1 test strip 3.1 장애유형에 따른 DMFT, DT, MT, FT 10 의 차이 분석 test strip 37 48 S.mutans(SM )가 9.3% 9% zone 가 of inhibition 36% 33% (negative:0),

표 2. 장애유형에 따른 DMFT, DT, MT, FT

| <del></del><br>변인 | 정신    | 정신지체  |       | .외    | 전     | 체     | t(p)                   |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------------------------|
|                   | М     | SD    | М     | SD    | М     | SD    | u(p)                   |
| DMFT              | 9.31  | 12.08 | 9.09  | 14.73 | 9.28  | 12.50 | .075 (.940)            |
| DT                | 36.92 | 38.46 | 33.83 | 32.35 | 36.41 | 37.43 | .397 (.694)            |
| FT                | 40.02 | 39.91 | 24.41 | 32.51 | 37.46 | 39.12 | 1.724 (.087)           |
| MT                | 10.56 | 22.14 | 32.67 | 36.95 | 14.19 | 26.32 | -2.71 <b>3</b> *(.012) |

<sup>\*</sup> p<.05

표 3. 성별에 따른 DMFT, DT, MT, FT

| 변인   | 남     | 남자    |       | 여자    |       | 체     | - t(p)        |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|
|      | М     | SD    | М     | SD    | М     | SD    | - (p)         |
| DMFT | 9.07  | 14.88 | 9.52  | 8.84  | 9.28  | 12.50 | 217 (.828)    |
| DT   | 29.12 | 36.48 | 45.41 | 36.92 | 36.41 | 37.43 | -2.555*(.012) |
| FT   | 34.07 | 40.16 | 41.63 | 37.71 | 37.46 | 39.12 | -1.114 (.267) |
| MT   | 17.89 | 3.93  | 9.63  | 18.41 | 14.19 | 26.32 | 1.916 (.058)  |

<sup>\*</sup> p<.05

표 4. 혀부위의 SM의 분포에 따른 DMFT, DT, FT, MT

|   |      | DM            | IFT   | D     | DT         |       | FT    |             | 1T    |
|---|------|---------------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-------------|-------|
|   |      | М             | SD    | М     | SD         | М     | SD    | М           | SD    |
|   | 무활성  | -             | -     | 34.04 | 36.99      | 34.74 | 40.43 | 8.99        | 17.68 |
|   | 경도활성 | 12.20         | 13.66 | 40.47 | 35.89      | 38.10 | 37.34 | 13.10       | 25.70 |
| 혀 | 중도활성 | 6.80          | 9.33  | 32.18 | 37.95      | 36.66 | 38.01 | 21.63       | 33.31 |
|   | 고도활성 | 6.25          | 6.82  | 45.00 | 48.70      | 50.00 | 53.45 | 5.00        | 9.26  |
|   | F(p) | ) 1.614(.189) |       | .550( | .550(.649) |       | .799) | 1.983(.120) |       |

<sup>\*</sup> p<.05

24% 가 40% < 3> . 10% 32% (P<.012).

### 3.3 Dentocult SM분석결과에 따른 DMFT, DT, FT, MT의 차이

< 2>

#### 3.3.1 혀부위의 Dentocult SM결과와 DMFT, DT FT MT의관계

#### 3.2 성별에 따른 DMFT, DT, MT, FT의 차 이 분석

가 9%. 9.5% 가 29%, 가 45%

(p<.012), 34%, 41%

가 가 9% 17%,

가 45% 가

Dentocult SM

50% 가 가

 $\mathsf{SM}$ 가

< 4>

가

표 5. 상악우측대구치의 SM의 분포에 따른 DMFT, DT, FT, MT

|                  |      | DN    | <b>/</b> FT | [     | DT     |        | FT     |       | <b>AT</b> |
|------------------|------|-------|-------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|
|                  |      | М     | SD          | М     | SD     | М      | SD     | М     | SD        |
|                  | 무활성  | 3.25  | 5.93        | 21.65 | 37.02  | 58.08  | 47.10  | 11.18 | 17.39     |
| 상악               | 경도활성 | 10.71 | 14.49       | 37.42 | 39.14  | 25.30  | 34.84  | 20.61 | 34.07     |
| 우측               | 중도활성 | 8.84  | 10.62       | 37.91 | 39.02  | 36.30  | 35.50  | 11.50 | 24.46     |
| 대 <del>구</del> 치 | 고도활성 | 12.18 | 14.45       | 43.06 | 32.62  | 38.41  | 38.15  | 12.65 | 23.69     |
|                  | F(p) | 2.586 | (.056)      | 1.549 | (.205) | 3.390* | (.020) | .996( | .397)     |

<sup>\*</sup> p<.05

표 6. 상악좌측대구치의 SM의 분포에 따른 DMFT, DT, FT, MT

|     |      | D         | MFT          |       | DT          |       | FT          |       | MT         |  |
|-----|------|-----------|--------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|------------|--|
|     |      | М         | SD           | М     | SD          | М     | SD          | М     | SD         |  |
|     | 무활성  | 4.95      | 6.31         | 37.55 | 39.27       | 33.76 | 45.24       | 21.00 | 23.45      |  |
| 상악  | 경도활성 | 8.47      | 12.57        | 29.01 | 35.18       | 34.48 | 38.92       | 14.29 | 30.71      |  |
| 죄측  | 중도활성 | 9.29      | 10.34        | 39.43 | 36.97       | 50.45 | 34.22       | 10.12 | 16.78      |  |
| 대구치 | 고도활성 | 15.77     | 17.14        | 48.08 | 39.59       | 31.92 | 37.00       | 11.67 | 28.23      |  |
|     | F(p) | 3.462*(.C | 3.462*(.018) |       | 1.575(.199) |       | 1.460(.229) |       | .892(.447) |  |

<sup>\*</sup> p<.05

48%

| 332상악우측대구치의      | Dentocult SM결과와DMFT, | 50%       | 가                |      |                |          |
|------------------|----------------------|-----------|------------------|------|----------------|----------|
| DT, FT, MT의 관계   | l                    |           |                  | 21%  | 가              |          |
| D                | entocult SM          |           |                  |      |                |          |
|                  | 12%                  |           |                  |      |                |          |
| 가                |                      | < 6>      |                  |      |                |          |
| 2                | 13% 가 ,              |           |                  |      |                |          |
|                  | 58%                  | 3,3,4 하약조 | <del> </del> 측대구 | 치의SM | <b>4의 분포</b> 에 | 따른 DMFT, |
| (p<.020).        |                      | DT, F1    | , мт∘)           | 차이   |                |          |
| 20% .            |                      |           |                  | SM   |                |          |
|                  |                      |           |                  |      | 13%            | 가        |
| . <              | . 5> .               |           |                  |      |                |          |
|                  |                      | 42%       |                  | ,    |                |          |
| 33.3 상악좌측대구치의    | l Dentocult SM결과와    | 4         | 4%               | 가    |                |          |
| DMFT, DT, FT, MT | '의관계                 |           |                  | 22%  | 가              |          |
| SM               | 1                    |           |                  |      |                |          |
|                  | 15% 가                |           | ,                |      |                |          |
| (p<.018).        |                      |           |                  | < 7> |                |          |

| <b>∓</b> 7. | 하악좌측대구치의          | SM으  | 분포에 | 따른  | DMFT.    | DT.     | FT. | МТ    |
|-------------|-------------------|------|-----|-----|----------|---------|-----|-------|
|             | VI - 4 - 41   /\- | O141 |     | ~4. | D1411 1, | · · · · |     | 141 1 |

|                |      | DM     | IFT   | D     | DT    |       | Т     | MT     |       |
|----------------|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|
|                |      | М      | SD    | М     | SD    | М     | SD    | М      | SD    |
|                | 무활성  | 7.40   | 10.05 | 38.47 | 37.09 | 38.86 | 42.98 | 15.53  | 23.08 |
| 하악             | 경도활성 | 7.59   | 10.98 | 33.60 | 39.13 | 32.90 | 38.37 | 14.75  | 27.07 |
| 좌 <del>측</del> | 중도활성 | 12.50  | 18.41 | 35.95 | 37.63 | 41.95 | 39.45 | 22.10  | 37.32 |
| 대구치            | 고도활성 | 13.64  | 12.16 | 42.42 | 34.12 | 44.85 | 36.73 | 3.64   | 7.90  |
|                | F(p) | 1.979( | .120) | .333( | 801)  | .647( | 586)  | 1.850( | .141) |

<sup>\*</sup> p<.05

표 8. 하악우측대구치의 SM의 분포에 따른 DMFT, DT, FT, MT

|     |      | DM     | FT    | D     | DT    |          | Т      | MT     |       |
|-----|------|--------|-------|-------|-------|----------|--------|--------|-------|
|     |      | М      | SD    | М     | SD    | М        | SD     | М      | SD    |
|     | 무활성  | 6.19   | 7.44  | 36.15 | 39.72 | 47.82    | 45.79  | 9.37   | 16.46 |
| 하악  | 경도활성 | 11.95  | 15.62 | 39.98 | 36.63 | 22.36    | 29.67  | 14.59  | 27.79 |
| 우측  | 중도활성 | 8.57   | 10.69 | 34.66 | 39.25 | 39.81    | 38.70  | 20.53  | 32.20 |
| 대구치 | 고도활성 | 7.74   | 11.88 | 27.50 | 30.71 | 69.17    | 33.09  | 3.33   | 7.78  |
|     | F(p) | 1.525( | .211) | .408( | .747) | 6.732*** | (.000) | 1.830( | .145) |

표 9. LB의 분포에 따른 DMFT, DT, FT, MT

|    |      | DN    | DMFT   |        | DT          |       | FT     |               | 1T    |
|----|------|-------|--------|--------|-------------|-------|--------|---------------|-------|
|    |      | М     | SD     | М      | SD          | М     | SD     | М             | SD    |
|    | 무활성  | 7.45  | 13.30  | 29.89  | 35.82       | 43.88 | 40.57  | 8.84          | 23.30 |
|    | 경도활성 | 6.93  | 8.66   | 37.27  | 38.94       | 26.25 | 34.18  | 24.72         | 32.40 |
| LB | 중도활성 | 10.91 | 13.52  | 37.59  | 35.39       | 43.98 | 41.07  | 7.32          | 11.02 |
|    | 고도활성 | 15.08 | 13.05  | 49.15  | 41.88       | 29.16 | 36.69  | 21.69         | 35.13 |
|    | F(p) | 2.289 | (.081) | 1.1830 | 1.183(.319) |       | (.118) | 4.009**(.009) |       |

<sup>\*</sup> p<.05

 3.3.5 하악우측대구치의 SM의 분포에 따른 DMFT,

 DT, FT, MT의 차이

SM

3.4 LB의 분포에 따른 DMFT, DT, FT, MT 의 차이

< 8>

가 11% 가

LB

39% 가

15%

69% 가

49% 가

(p<.000).

20% 가

SM 가 43%

24% 가

(p<.009).

121

가 . Dentcult-SM 가 < 9> 1,000,000CFU/ml 가 가 가 Vignehsa<sup>6)</sup> 가 6 18 Evans<sup>7)</sup> 3 19 12 14 5 가 가 38% , Gizani 12 21% 8) 9) 90% 가 가 가 40% 가 가 가  $\mathsf{SM}$ 가 가 가 Dentcult-SM 가 가 . LB

5. 결론

. MT

FΤ

```
134
                       17 4 9
             . 2008
                                        6.
                                                        Dentocult SM
                                                    DT. FT
                     Dentocult-SM
                                             DMFT
                                         가
Dentocult-LB kit
                        streptococcus
                                                        , MT
                                            가
mutans lactobacillus
                                        7.
                                                        Dentocult SM
               mirror explorer
                                            DMFT DT
                                                , FT
                                                                   , MT
                                                      가
            (DMFT),
                            (DT),
                                        8. Dentocult-LB
        (FT),
                      (MT)
                                                               DMFT DT
                 SPSS 15.0 for Windows
                                                                   , FT
                     , Dentocult-SM
                                                      , MT
Dentocult-LB
                                   t
                                       Dentocult SM LB
                                       가
                       DMFT
 1.
                       FT
               . DT
                                                             가
                       , MT
 2.
            DMFT
                       DT
                         , FT
                               MT
 3.
            Dentocult SM
   DMFT
                         가
                                , DT
      FT
                        , MT
            가
                 Dentocult SM
 4.
      DMFT DT
          , FT
                               , MT
                          가
 5.
                 Dentocult SM
      DMFT
             DT
```

#### 참고 문헌

. 20 1.

1994;19:215-223.

2. ;2001:235-240.

3. Dentocult-

SM

. J Korea Acad Pediatr Dent 2003:30 (2):254-262.

- 4. 2006;33(1):149-159.
- 5. Dentocult SM LB

2008;64(3):45-56.

- 6. Vignehsa H. Soh G. Lo GL, Chellappah NK: Dental health of disabled children in Singapore. Aust Dent J 1991;36:151-6.
- 7. Evans DJ. Greening S. French AD:A study of the dental health of children and young adults attending special school in South Glamorgan. Int J Padeiatr Dent - 1991;1:17-24.
- 8.

.J Korea Acad Pediatr Dent · 2000;27 (2)202-207.

2007;34(1):19-26.

10. Gizani S. Declerck D, Vinckier F, et al.: Oral health condition of 12-year0old handicapped children in Flanders (Belgium). Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2997;25:352-7.

#### **Abstract**

# A study on analysis of the Relationship Oral bacteria to dental caries experience in disabled student's: in Gyeong-nam

Pyeong-Kyu Cho, Sung-Suk Park

Department of Dental Hygiene, Jinju Health College

Key words: Streptococcus mutans, Lactobacillus, DMFT, DT, MT, FT

The purpose of this study was to examine the relationship between the Dentocult SM and LB scores of students and their DMF rate. The subjects in this study were 134 special school students in south Gyeong-sang province. After they were tested to find out their, they received tooth brushing education and preventive treatment. The test, education and treatment were all conducted from March 17 to April 9, 2008. Their oral state was checked and recorded by using a mirror and explorer, and then the data on oral state were analyzed to their DMFT, DT, FT and MT rates. All the collected data were analyzed with SPSS 15.0 for Windows program, and independent-samples t-test, one-way ANOVA and crosstabs analysis were implemented to see what differences their disability type, gender, Dentocult-SM scores and Dentocult-LB scores made. The findings of the study were as follows:

- 1. As for connections between disability type and DMFT rate, the students with mental retardation were similar to the other students in that regard. The mentally retarded students had higher DT and FT rates than the other students, and the MT rate of the latter was higher than that of the former.
- 2. Concerning the relationship of gender to DMFT and DT rates, the girls had larger DMFT and DT rates than the boys, and the FT and MT rates of the boys were higher than those of the girls.
- 3. As to links between Dentocult SM scores on the tongue and DMFT, the students whose bacteria was mildly activated had the highest DMFT rate, and the students who had a severely activated bacteria had the highest DT and FT rates. Those who had a moderately activated bacteria had

the highest MT rate.

- 4. Regarding the connections of Dentocult SM scores on maxillyright molar. to DMFT and DT rates, the students whose bacteria was severely activated had the highest DMFT and DT rates. Those who had no activated bacteria had the largest FT rate, and the students who had a mildly activated bacteria had the highest MT rate.
- 5. Regarding the connections of Dentocult SM scores on maxillyleft molar to DMFT and DT rates, the students whose bacteria was severely activated had the highest DMFT and DT rates. Those who had no activated bacteria had the largest FT rate, and the students who had a mildly activated bacteria had the largest MT rate.
- 6. Regarding the connections of Dentocult SM scores on mandibularright molar. to DMFT and DT, FT rates, the students whose bacteria was severely activated had the highest DMFT and DT, FT rates. Those who had a moderately activated bacteria had the highest MT rate.
- 7. Regarding the connections of Dentocult SM scores on mandibularleft molar. to DMFT and DT rates, the students whose bacteria was mildly activated had the highest DMFT and DT rates. Those who had no activated bacteria had the highest FT rate, and the students who had a moderately activated bacteria had the highest MT rate.
- 8. Regarding the connections of Dentocult LB scores to DMFT and DT rates, the students whose bacteria was severely activated had the highest DMFT and DT rates. Those who had no activated bacteria had a moderately FT rate, and the students who had a mildly activated bacteria had a mildly MT rate.

-2008.10.17 -2008.11.25 -2008.12.15