Temporomandibular disorders and risk factors in office workers, service workers, and teachers

Eui-Gyeong Seo \cdot Soon-Duck $\operatorname{Kim}^1 \cdot \operatorname{June-Young Lee}^2 \cdot \operatorname{Jae-Suk Rim}^3$

Dept. of Epidemiology and Health Informatics, Korea University Graduate School of Public Health
¹Dept. of Preventive Medicine, College of Medicine, Korea University

²Dept. of Biostatistics, College of Medicine, Korea University

³Dept. of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Korea University Graduate School of Clinical Dentistry

측두하악장애의 유병률과 관련요인에 관한 연구-일부 일반사무직, 서비스직, 교직원을 대상으로 -

서의경 \cdot 김순덕 1 \cdot 이준영 2 \cdot 임재석 3

고려대학교 보건대학원 역학 및 보건정보학과·¹고려대학교 의과대학 예방의학교실 ²고려대학교 의과대학 의학통계학교실·³고려대학교 임상치의학전문대학원 구강악안면외과

국문초록

목적 : 본 연구는 업무형태에 따른 측두하악장애의 유병률과 업무 시 노출되는 직무스트레스 및 구강 내 악습관이 측 두하악장애와 어떠한 연관성이 있는지 알아보아 보건학적 기초자료를 제공하고자 실시되었다.

방법: 본 연구의 자료 수집을 위하여 서울과 경기 일부지역에 근무하고 있는 일반사무직, 서비스직, 교직원으로부터 편의 추출된 452명을 대상으로 2010년 1월부터 2010년 4월까지 설문조사를 실시하였고, 수거된 353명을 연구대상으 로 하였다. 설문지는 측두하악장애의 증상, 하악사용에 관한 구강 내 악습관, 직무스트레스, 인구사회학적 특성으로 구 성되었다. 측두하악장애의 증상의 정도를 구분하기 위해 설문지의 양성응답 수의 빈도에 따라 무증상인 1단계에서 양 성응답 수가 가장 많은 4단계 까지 총 4그룹으로 나누었다. 측두하악장애의 유병률을 알아보기 위하여 빈도분석을 시 행하였고, 측두하악장애의 증상의 정도에 따른 여러 요인들 간의 연관성 및 관련요인을 알아보기 위하여 교차분석 및 경향성 분석과 다항로지스틱회기 분석을 시행하였다.

결과: 측두하악장애의 유병률은 75.4%였고, 측두하악장애에 대한 주관적 증상으로는 관절잡음이 56.4%로 가장 주된 증상 중 하나였으나 남녀 간의 차이는 통계적으로 유의하지 않았다. 다음으로는 두통이나 목의 통증이 36.5%이었고. 귀, 관자놀이, 볼 주위의 통증이 22.1%로 높았다. 측두하악장애의 주관적인 증상 수에 따른 인구사회학적 특성은 증상 이 없는 경우 여성에서 19.1%, 남성에서 36.6%로 여성에서 더 높은 유병률을 보였다. 연령별로는 40세 이상의 그룹보 다 20 - 30대그룹에서 측두하악장애 증상수가 높아지는 경향을 보였다. 하악 사용과 관련된 악습관 및 직무스트레스 는 측두하악장애 증상수와 유의한 관련성이 있는 것으로 나타났는데, 하악 사용과 관련된 습관의 개수가 많아질수록 측두하악장애의 증상의 개수도 많아졌고, 습관이 한 가지씩 늘어날수록 측두하악장애 증상이 없는 1단계보다 3단계가 될 위험이 1.45배, 4단계가 될 위험이 1.57배 높아졌다. 스트레스 수준도 가장 하위단계에서 한 단계 높아지면 측두하 악장애 1단계에서 4단계가 될 위험이 2.49배, 두 단계 높아지면 3.43배 높아졌다.

교신저자 : 김순덕 우) 136-705 서울특별시 성북구 안암동 5가 136-705 고려대학교 의과대학 예방의학교실 전화 : 02-920-6344 Fax : 02-927-7220 E-mail: kimsd@korea.ac.kr 접수일-2012년 4월 20일 수정일-2012년 6월 19일 게재확정일-2012년 6월 22일 **결론**: 본 연구의 결과 측두하악장애와 업무특성에 따른 연관성은 설명하지 못하였지만, 직무스트레스가 높은 경우 측 두하악장애 증상의 개수 또한 높아짐을 확인할 수 있었다. 이는 측두하악장애의 주관적인 증상을 발생시키는데 있어서 업무형태 보다는 심인적인 부분이 더 중요한 인자임을 의미한다. 그러므로 측두하악장애 평가 시 신체적인 문제뿐 아 니라 행동적, 심리 사회적 문제로 예측인자를 폭넓게 인식함으로써 다각적인 접근을 하는 것이 필요하며, 측두하악장 애 증상이 발생된 경우 임상적 치료뿐 아니라 행동요법 및 심리 치료와 자가 관리 등이 함께 수반되어 기여요인 조절 을 조절하는 것이 중요하다 하겠다.(J Korean Soc Dent Hygiene 2012;12(3):563-576)

Keywords : habits, occupational stress, prevalence, temporomandibular disorders **색인 :** 구강 악습관, 유병률, 직무스트레스, 측두하악장애

1. Introduction

Temporomandibular disorder (TMD) is a collective term used to describe a number of disorders involving the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), masticatory muscles, and occlusion with common symptoms such as pain, restricted range of jaw movement, muscle tenderness, and variable joint sounds¹⁾. The etiological causes of TMD are multifactorial, and can be classified into 5 categories; an occlusal condition, trauma, deep pain, psychological factors, and parafunctional activities. Of the numerous contributing factors related to TMD, some may initiate symptoms, some are perpetuating, and some are the product of the disorder²⁾.

According to the 2010 report of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), the average annual hours worked per person worldwide is 1,740; in the Netherlands it is 1,392, and in Korea, it is 2,256. Second only to Chile (2,402 hours), Korea has the world's longest average annual hours worked per person. Long work hours and certain job characteristics, specifically occupational stress, have been associated with an increased risk of depression³⁾.

Among working populations, many physical symptoms are initiated and perpetuated by workplace stressors⁴⁾. Although extensive efforts have improved the work environment, the psychosocial workload has become an integral part of modern life. Several studies have shown associations between TMD symptoms, neck pain and headache, and psychosocial factors⁵⁻⁸.

Studies have been conducted to determine whether behavioural and psychosocial factors influence the acquisition of TMD^{9-13} . However, TMD symptoms have not been analyzed in the context of specific occupations. We used a self-administered questionnaire to assess the prevalence of TMD and to investigate the relationship between TMD symptoms and occupation, occupational stress, and parafunctional activity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Subjects

Subjects (452) were selected by judgmental nonprobability sampling from the populations of Seoul and Gyeonggi Province, based on their occupation as office workers, service workers, or teachers. Questionnaires were collected from January 2010 to April 2010. The 353 respondents included 112 males and 241 females aged 20 to 60 years.

2.2. Questionnaire

The questionnaire addressed TMD symptoms, habits related to jaw use, the contracted version of occupational stress, and demographic characteristics. Items regarding TMD symptoms were adapted from the screening questionnaire set forth by the American Academy of Orofacial Pain¹⁴ and answers were given dichotomously. If at least one affirmative answer is provided among the 10 items, TMD may be diagnosed¹⁵. The number of TMD symptoms was classified into 4 grades, calculating the sum of affirmative answers as follows: grade 1 (no affirmative answers), grade 2 (one affirmative answer), garde 3 (2–3 affirmative answers), and grade 4 (4–10 affirmative answers).

Information regarding habits related to jaw use was obtained by asking questions requiring dichotomous answers on the presence or absence of the following: (i) teeth clenching or bruxing, (ii) biting lips, nails, pencils, or foreign objects, (iii) sleeping on one side, (iv) leaning the head or chin on the palm, and (v) chewing food on one side. The total score was the sum of affirmative answers for each item from 0 to 5.

A contracted version of the Korean Society of Occupational Stress (KOSS) was used to measure occupational stress, and the scores were converted to a 100-point scale. The 7 subscales included (i) high job demand, (ii) insufficient job control, (iii) inadequate social support, (iv) job insecurity, (v) organizational injustice, (vi) lack of reward, and (vii) discomfort in occupational climate. Items were scored using a conventional 4-point Likert scale (3). Occupational stress was classified in quartiles, shown in $\langle Table 1 \rangle$.

Age, gender, marital status (married or single), daily use of the jaw, and type of work were included as demographic characteristics. Daily use

Table 1. A condensed version of the Korean occupational stress scale

	Quartile levels of occupational stress (%)*										
Gender	< 25	25–49	50-74	≥ 75							
Male	≤ 42.4	42.5-48.5	48.5-54.7	≥ 54.8							
Female	≤ 44.4	45.5-50.0	50.1-55.6	≥ 55.7							

*The Korean Society of Occupational Stress

of the jaw during work time was classified as almost none, occasionally, often, or very often. Type of work was classified by whether the work required talking or interacting with people such as office workers, service workers, and teachers.

2.3. Statistical analysis

The data obtained from the questionnaire and the severity scores were statistically analyzed. A frequency analysis was used to determine prevalence. The relationship between TMD severity and independent variables was analyzed by the chi-square test. A linear trend test between age group and the grade of the number of TMD symptoms, or the level of occupational stress and the grade of the number of TMD symptoms was performed by a linear by linear association test between those ordinal variables. Based on the results of a multinomial logistic regression analysis. variables associated with TMD symptoms were estimated as possible factors related to TMD. The strength of association between the 4 grades and these factors was described by odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. All analyses were conducted using SPSS 12.0 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL. USA) with a p-value of 0.05.

3. Results

Demographic characteristics with respect to type

of work are shown in $\langle \text{Table } 2 \rangle$. The gender distribution was 31.7% males and 68.3% females. Subjects in their 20s and 30s were frequent among the 3 groups, and subjects in their 40s were mostly teachers. The frequency of daily use of the jaw during work time was the highest in office workers. However, teachers showed countertrends opposite to those of office workers.

At least one TMD symptom was reported by 75.4% of subjects. Approximately 25.0% of subjects were classified into grade 2, 28.3% were into grade 3,

and 22.1% were into grade 4 $\langle Table 3 \rangle$.

The frequency of affirmative answers for TMD symptoms is shown in $\langle \text{Table 4} \rangle$. The most frequently reported symptom was TMJ sounds; however, no significant difference was observed between genders (56.4%). Headache or neck pain was the secondly most frequent symptom reported by both genders (36.5%), followed by frequent pain in or about the ears, temples, or cheeks (22.1%). Other symptoms such as a stiff, tight, or tired feeling in the jaw, and getting the jaw stuck or

	Table 2	. Demograp	phic chara	acteristics	of the	subjects	according	to	their	type	of	wor
--	---------	------------	------------	-------------	--------	----------	-----------	----	-------	------	----	-----

N(%)

N(%)

	Office workers	Service workers	Teachers	Total	
Variable	126 (35.7)	131 (37.1)	96 (27.2)	353 (100.0)	p-value*
Gender					
male	61 (48.4)	26 (19.8)	25 (26.0)	112 (31.7)	< 0.001
female	65 (51.6)	105 (80.2)	71 (74.0)	241 (68.3)	<0.001
Age (year)					
20-29	53 (42.1)	61 (46.5)	38 (39.6)	152 (43.1)	
30-39	62 (49.2)	64 (48.9)	34 (35.4)	160 (45.3)	<0.001
≥ 40	11 (8.7)	6 (4.6)	24 (25.0)	41 (11.6)	< 0.001
Marital status					
single	74 (58.7)	79 (60.3)	51 (53.1)	204 (57.8)	0 597
married	52 (41.3)	52 (39.7)	45 (46.9)	149 (42.2)	0.007
Daily use of the jaw during work time					
almost none	89 (70.6)	32 (24.4)	12 (12.5)	133 (37.7)	
occasionally	20 (15.9)	44 (33.6)	15 (15.6)	79 (22.4)	< 0.001
often	16 (12.7)	22 (16.8)	23 (24.0)	61 (17.3)	<0.001
very often	1 (0.8)	33 (25.2)	46 (47.9)	80 (22.6)	
No. of habits related to jaw use					
0	17 (13.5)	13 (9.9)	9 (9.4)	39 (11.1)	
1	32 (25.4)	24 (18.3)	21 (21.9)	77 (21.8)	
2	24 (19.0)	41 (31.3)	35 (36.5)	100 (28.3)	0.256
3	33 (26.2)	27 (20.6)	19 (19.8)	79 (22.4)	0.200
4	15 (11.9)	18 (13.8)	8 (8.3)	41 (11.6)	
5	5 (4.0)	8 (6.1)	4 (4.1)	17 (4.8)	

* Analyzed by Chi-square test

Table 3	Prevalence	of	and	criteria	for	the	number	of	temporomandibular	disorders	symptoms	
---------	------------	----	-----	----------	-----	-----	--------	----	-------------------	-----------	----------	--

Number of T	MD symptoms	Male	Female	Total
Grade 1	0	41 (36.6)	46 (19.1)	87 (24.6)
Grade 2	1	30 (26.8)	58 (24.1)	88 (25.0)
Grade 3	2-3	25 (22.3)	75 (31.1)	1100 (28.3)
Grade 4	4-9	16 (14.3)	62 (25.7)	78 (22.1)

TMD, temporomandibular disorders

Question of symptoms of TMD		Male	Female	Total	p-value*
1. Difficulty or pain on opening mouth	Yes No	14 (12.5) 98 (87.5)	51.(21.2) 190 (78.8)	65 (18.4) 288 (81.6)	0.051
2. Getting stuck or locking of jaw	Yes No	10 (8.9) 102 (91.1)	48 (19.9) 193 (80.1)	58 (16.4) 295 (83.6)	0.010
3. Difficulty or pain on chewing or talking	Yes No	12 (10.7) 100 (89.3)	43 (17.8) 198 (82.2)	55 (15.6) 298 (84.4)	0.086
4. TMJ sounds	Yes No	59 (52.7) 53 (47.3)	140 (58.1) 101 (41.9)	199 (56.4) 154 (43.6)	0.340
5. Stiff, tight, tired feeling in the jaw	Yes No	11 (9.8) 101 (90.2)	50 (20.7) 191 (79.3)	61 (17.3) 292 (82.7)	0.012
6. Pain in or about ears, temples or cheeks	Yes No	17 (15.2) 95 (94.8)	61 (25.3) 180 (74.4)	78 (22.1) 275 (77.9)	0.033
7. Change in bite	Yes No	16 (14.3) 96 (85.7)	39 (16.2) 202 (83.8)	55 (15.6) 298 (84.4)	0.647
8. Headache or neck pain	Yes No	21 (18.8) 91 (81.2)	108 (44.8) 133 (55.2)	129 (36.5) 224 (63.5)	<0.001
9. Injury to head, neck or jaw	Yes No	4 (3.6) 108 (96.4)	9 (3.7) 232 (96.3)	13 (3.7) 340 (96.3)	1.000 †
10. Treatment for facial pain or jaw joint problem	Yes No	8 (7.1) 104 (92.9)	28 (11.6) 213 (88.4)	36 (10.2) 317 (89.8)	0.196

Table 4. Frequency of affirmative answers on the temporomandibular disorder symptoms questionnaire N(%)

*Analyzed by Chi-square test

[†]Analyzed by Fisher's exact test

TMD, temporomandibular disorders; TMJ temporomandibular joint

locking of the jaw were 20.7% and 9.8%, and 19.9% and 8.9% in females and males, respectively. Females exhibited higher frequencies of each of these TMD symptoms than did males.

 $\langle \text{Table 5} \rangle$ shows the distribution of subjects and the number of habits related to jaw use according to the 4 grades of the number of TMD symptoms. Female subjects were likely to report more symptoms than male subjects with was turned out to be statistically significant (p < 0.001). The trend test showed that the number of TMD symptoms tended to increase in younger subjects (p=0.005). The over-40 group had significantly fewer subjects than the 20s and the 30s group in the grade 3 and 4 categories, and the 20s and the 30s were the most frequently classified into the grade 3 category. The incidence of the subjects without any of the 5 habits related to jaw use in 4 grades were 43.6%, 33.3%, 10.3%, and 12.8%, and subjects with all 5 habits were 17.6%, 17.6%, 23.6%, and 41.2%, respectively. The number of TMD symptoms increased significantly each time an additional habit was acquired (p < 0.001). The number of TMD symptoms did not differ by level of occupational stress; however, the trend test indicated that the number of TMD symptoms tended to increase with higher occupational stress (p=0.011). The level of occupational stress (total score) was classified in quartiles and is shown in $\langle Table 5 \rangle$.

The risk factors associated with the 4 grades based on the number of TMD symptoms were analyzed after adjusting for independent variables and contemplating potential confounders and their interactions. First, the interaction of the 7 Table 5. Distribution of demographic characteristics, the number of habits related to jaw use, and the level of occupational stress according to 4 grades of the number of temporomandibular disorders symptoms

N(%)

The number of TMD symptoms											
Variable	Grade 1 87 (24.7)	Grade 2 88 (24.9)	Grade 3 100 (28.3)	Grade 4 78 (22.1)	p-value*						
Gender											
male	41 (36.6)	30 (26.8)	25 (22.3)	16 (14.3)	<0.001						
female	46 (19.1)	58 (24.1)	75 (31.1)	62 (25.7)	< 0.001						
Age (year)											
20-29	29 (19.1)	40 (26.3)	49 (32.2)	34 (22.4)							
30-39	38 (23.8)	38 (23.8)	45 (28.1)	39 (24.3)	0.005 🕇						
≥40	20 (48.8)	10 (24.4)	6 (14.6)	5 (12.2)							
Marital status											
single	40 (19.6)	54 (26.5)	63 (30.9)	47 (23.0)	0.08						
married	47 (31.6)	34 (22.8)	37 (24.8)	31 (20.8)	0.08						

* Analyzed by Chi-square test

† Analyzed by Trend test

TMD, temporomandibular disorders

(Continue)

Table 5. Distribution of demographic characteristics, the number of habits related to jaw use, and the level of occupational stress according to 4 grades of the number of temporomandibular disorders symptoms

N(%)

	Г	S			
	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 4	
Variable	87 (24.7)	88 (24.9)	100 (28.3)	78 (22.1)	p-value*
Daily use of the jaw during work time					
almost none	35 (26.3)	36 (27.1)	37 (27.8)	25 (18.8)	
occasionally	20 (25.3)	23 (29.1)	22 (27.9)	14 (17.7)	0 590
often	12 (19.7)	16 (26.2)	17 (27.9)	16 (26.2)	0.580
very often	20 (25.0)	13 (16.2)	24 (30.0)	23 (28.8)	
No. of habits related to jaw use					
0	17 (43.6)	13 (33.3)	4 (10.3)	5 (12.8)	
1	23 (29.9)	24 (31.2)	21 (27.2)	9 (11.7)	
2	30 (30.0)	21 (21.0)	25 (25.0)	24 (24.0)	< 0.001
3	7 (8.9)	22 (27.8)	30 (38.0)	20 (25.3)	< 0.001
4	7 (17.1)	5 (12.2)	16 (39.0)	13 (31.7)	
5	3 (17.6)	3 (17.6)	4 (23.6)	7 (41.2)	
Type of work					
office workers	32 (25.4)	31 (24.6)	40 (31.7)	23 (18.3)	
service workers	30 (22.9)	36 (27.5)	29 (22.1)	36 (27.5)	0.361
teachers	25 (26.0)	21 (21.9)	31 (32.3)	19 (19.8)	
Level of occupational stress (%)					
<25	42 (27.6)	41 (27.0)	48 (31.6)	21 (13.8)	
25-49	19 (23.2)	25 (30.5)	17 (20.7)	21 (25.6)	0.011 +
50-74	10 (17.6)	13 (22.8)	17 (29.8)	17 (29.8)	0.011
≥ 75	16 (25.8)	9 (14.5)	18 (29.0)	19 (30.7)	

* Analyzed by Chi-square test

†Analyzed by Trend test

TMD, temporomandibular disorders

variables was tested in a multinomial logistic regression analysis; however, it was not significant, so the main effects were tested.

Gender and the number of habits related to jaw use increased the risk of the TMD symptoms in grade 3 and 4, referring to grade 1 analysed by multinominal logistic regression. The relative risk of developing grade 4 vs. remaining grade 1 would be expected to increase by a factor of 2.26 for females vs. males if the other variables in the model were held constant. If a subject acquired a single habit related to jaw use, the relative risk for grade 3 vs. remaining grade 1 would be expected to increase by a factor of 1.45 and for gade 4 vs. grade 1 it would be expected to increase by a factor of 1.57. The number of TMD symptoms tended to be positively associated with occupational stress. The odds of having grade 4 vs. remaining grade 1 increased by a factor of 2.49 between the lowest and second levels of stress. The third level of stress increased the odds of developing TMD by a factor of 3.43 after controlling for other variables in the model $\langle Table 6 \rangle$.

4. Discussion

The prevalence of TMD is high in the working-age

Table 6.	Multinomial	logistic	regression	analysis	of	risk	factors	associated	with	the 4	grades	based	on	the
	number of	temporor	nandibular	disorders	sy	mpto	ms.							

	The number of TMD symptoms \S [OR(95% CI)]								
Variable	G	arade 2	G	rade 3	Grade 4				
Gender									
male	1.00		1.00		1.00				
female	1.43	(0.70-2.92)	1.86	(0.90-3.88)	2.26†	(1.02-5.03)			
Age (year)									
20-29	1.00		1.00		1.00				
30-39	0.94	(0.42 - 2.13)	0.95	(0.43-2.10)	1.10	(0.46-2.60)			
≥40	0.51	(0.15 - 1.74)	0.33	(0.09-1.22)	0.56	(0.14-2.25)			
Marital status									
single	1.00		1.00		1.00				
married	0.77	(0.34-1.71)	0.83	(0.38-1.81)	0.83	(0.35-1.92)			
Daily use of the jaw during work time									
almost none	1.00		1.00		1.00				
occasionally	1.06	(0.46 - 2.47)	1.19	(0.50-2.84)	1.00	(0.38-2.64)			
often	1.36	(0.51 - 3.64)	1.48	(0.55-4.00)	1.76	(0.63-4.96)			
very often	0.54	(0.19-1.53)	0.96	(0.35-2.58)	1.04	(0.37-2.94)			
No. of habits related to jaw use	1.09	(0.85-1.41)	1.45 ‡	(1.13-1.87)	1.57 ‡	(1.19-2.06)			
Type of work									
office workers	1.00		1.00		1.00				
service workers	1.05	(0.46 - 2.41)	0.54	(0.23-1.25)	1.08	(0.44-2.62)			
teachers	1.03	(0.38-2.78)	1.00	(0.38-2.63)	0.92	(0.31-2.69)			
Level of occupational stress (%)									
<25	1.00		1.00		1.00				
25-49	1.55	(0.71-3.39)	0.97	(0.42-2.24)	2.49†	(1.04-4.96)			
50-74	1.48	(0.59-3.87)	1.60	(0.63-4.08)	3.43†	(1.26-9.30)			
≥75	0.59	(0.23 - 1.52)	0.90	(0.39-2.10)	2.00	(0.81-4.96)			

†p-value<0.05, **‡**p-value<0.001

§ The risk of the TMD symptoms was multinorminal logistic analysis and described in terms of odds ratio (OR) with confidence interval (CI) referring to the group 1(symptomless).

population and the association between TMD and parafunctional activities or stress has been acknowledged¹⁶⁻¹⁸⁾. However, putative associations between the number of TMD symptoms and occupational stress with respect to work environment are unclear. We conducted this study to determine the prevalence of TMD symptoms and to define the risk factors affecting the number of TMD symptoms among office workers, service workers, and teachers. Multinomial logistic regression analysis revealed that gender; the number of habits related to jaw use, and the level of occupational stress were risk factors for TMD and were related to the number of symptom.

The type of work was categorized 3 groups according to how much the subjects are required to speak during their working day. As indicated by the frequency of daily jaw use during work time, office workers speak less than service workers and teachers speak in their respective work environments. Daily use of the jaw during work time was mostly due to speaking (data is not shown), and the frequency of daily jaw use increased from office workers to service workers and teachers.

Very good reliability and high validity have been reported for self-reported questionnaires regarding TMD pain¹⁹⁾. Accordingly, we sought to characterize the association between TMD symptoms and type of work and to help assess subjective TMD symptoms using a self-administered questionnaire. Although over 40% of the population is thought to exhibit at least one symptom of TMD²⁰⁾, the prevalence of perceived TMD symptoms varies significantly depending on the criteria used^{15,17,21)}. Subjects in the present study reported an equal or slightly more frequent prevalence of TMD than did those in previous studies^{22,23,24)}, and females had a statistically higher TMD prevalence than males. It was assumed that this was because there were many young females in our cohort who were at greater risk for TMD.

TMJ sounds were the most frequently reported symptom in our study cohort, consistent with the findings of earlier studies^{16,25,26)}, but we found no significant difference between genders, and the frequency of TMJ sounds was higher than previously reported^{25,27,28)}. It may be that the methods and criteria for recording joint sounds varied among studies. The next most frequently reported symptom was headache and neck pain, followed by pain in or about ears, temples or cheeks, and significant differences in these symptoms were found between males and females. These results corresponded with earlier reports^{15,29)}.

Females had more TMD symptoms than males, which was consistent with other studies^{30,31)}. Gender differences could be explained by mental factors; young females seem to have a lower pain threshold³¹⁾. Other factors such as stress are well known from TMD investigations, and females are more affected than males^{31,32)}.

Clenching, bruxing, biting foreign objects, and chewing on one side increase the risk of TMJ noise and pain¹⁶⁾, congruent with our results. The number of habits related to jaw use was correlated with the number of TMD symptoms in this study. The subjects of grade 4 had a higher frequency of the habits related to jaw use compared to those who were grade 1. According to a previous report, parafunctional activities are closely related to TMD occurrence, and susceptibility to stress could be associated with a high frequency of parafunctional activities³³⁾.

In this study, the level of occupational stress was significantly and highly associated with the number of TMD symptoms; as occupational stress increased, the incidence of grade 4 subjects increased. Whether these psychological factors are the cause or the result of TMD symptoms is unknown; previous studies have reported that the level of tension increases with TMD severity and found an association between anxiety and depression and subjective TMD symptoms^{34,35)}. Marital status was not a significant factor in this study, consistent with a previous report³⁶⁾. However, a sudden change in environment, such as a divorce or bereavement, often aggravates TMD symptoms, indicating that the emotional stress caused by such events can influence TMD severity³⁶⁾.

Collectively, Occupational stress is more important in the occurrence of TMD symptoms than the work type, and should be considered whenever TMD symptoms are assessed. The present study had a methodological limitation that should be considered. One must be cautious in generalizing our results because of the small sample size of the study. A prospective cohort study will be performed to address various type of work-related jaw use to identify potential risk factors.

5. Conclusions

The study was to identify the prevalence and risk factors for temporomandibular disorders (TMD) and to reveal the relationship between occupation and habits related to jaw use, occupational stress, and TMD. We surveyed office workers, service workers and teachers by self-administered questionnaires. Respondents (353 of 452 selfadministered questionnaires) addressed TMD symptoms, habits, and occupational stress. TMD symptom. Approximately 19.1% of females and 36.6% of males were symptomless. Female subjects were likely to show more symptoms than male subjects, and to place in grade 3 and 4 categories.

- The most frequently reported symptom was TMJ sounds in the frequency of affirmative answers for TMD symptoms. However, no significant difference was observed between genders (56.4%). Headache or neck pain was the most frequent symptom reported by both genders (36.5%), followed by frequent pain in or about the ears, temples, or cheeks (22.1%).
- 3. The subjects of grade 3 (31.1%) and grade 4 (25.7%) in females were significantly higher than those of males were (22.3% and 14.3%). The over-40 group had significantly fewer subjects than the 20s and the 30s group in the grade 3 and 4 categories.
- 4. The number of TMD symptom increased significantly each time an additional habit was acquired (p < 0.001). The number of TMD symptoms did not differ by level of occupational stress. The relative risk for grade 4 vs. grade 1 was 2.26-fold greater in females than in males; acquiring one habit increased the odds for grade 3 (OR, 1.45) and 4 (OR, 1.57) after controlling for other variables. The number of TMD symptoms was positively associated with higher levels of occupational stress. The odds of having the grade 4 vs. grade 1 were increased by a factor of 2.49 for subjects at the second stress level and by a factor of 3.43 for those at the third stress level.

The type of work was not associated with TMD, while the relationship between TMD symptoms and occupational stress described subjectively

^{1. 75.4%} of the respondents exhibited at least one

confirmed. These results imply that psychological factors are more important in the occurrence of TMD symptoms than the tasks themselves. Consequently, it is postulated that whenever TMD symptoms are assessed, the possible psychosocial and behavioural impacts on symptoms should be considered, and that TMD treatment requires a diversified approach including cognitive behavioural and psychosocial therapy as well as clinical therapy and self-care.

References

- Dimitroulis G. Temporomandibular disorders: a clinical update. BMJ 1998:317(7152):190-194.
- Fricton JR, Kroening RJ, Hathaway KM. TMJ and craniofacial pain: Diagnosis and Management. 1st ed., St. Louis:Ishiyaku EuroAmerica, Inc.; 1988:1-10.
- Chang SJ, Koh SB, Kang D, et al. Developing an occupational stress scale for Korean employees. Korean J Occup Environ Med 2005; 17(4):297-317.
- von Onciul J. ABC of work related disorders. Stress at work. BMJ 1996;313(7059):745-748.
- Ariens GA, Bongers PM, Hoogendoorn WE, et al. High quantitative job demands and low co-worker support as risk factors for neck pain: results of a prospective cohort study. Spine 2001;26(17):1896-1901.
- Mongini F, Ciccone G, Ibertis F, et al. Personality characteristics and accompanying symptoms in temporomandibular joint dysfunction, headache, and facial pain. J Orofac Pain 2000;14(1): 52-58.
- 7. Torp S, Riise T, Moen BE. The impact of

psychosocial work factors on musculoskeletal pain: a prospective study. J Occup Environ Med 2001;43(2):120-126.

- Viikari-Juntura E, Martikainen R, Luukkonen R, et al. Longitudinal study on work related and individual risk factors affecting radiating neck pain. J Occup Environ Med 2001;58(5):345-352.
- Lee YO, Lee SW. A study of the emotional characteristics of temporomandibular disorder patients using SCL-90-R. J Craniomandib Disord Facial Oral Pain 1989;3(1):25-34.
- Schulte JK, Anderson GC, Hathaway MK, et al. Psychometric profiles and related pain characteristics of temporomandibular disorder patients. J Orofac Pain 1993;7(3):247-253.
- Butterworth JC, Deardorff WW. Psychometric profiles of craniomandibular pain patients: Identifying specific subgroups. J Craniomand Pract 1987;5(3):225-232.
- Dahlstrom L. Psychometrics in temporomandibular disorders: An overview. Acta Odontol Scand 1993;51(6):339-352.
- Yap AU, Cha EK, Hoe JK. Clinical TMD, pain related disability and psychological status of TMD patients. J Oral Rehabil 2002;29(4):374-380.
- McNeil C. Temporomandibular disorders: Guidelines for Classification, Assessment and Management. 2nd ed., Chicago:Quintessence Publishing Co.; 1993:62.
- 15. Sohn DE, Ahn YW, Park JS, et al. An epidemiological study of temporomandibular disorders patients by screening questionnaire. Korean J Oral Med 2004;29(4):341-351.
- 16. Miyake R, Ohkubo R, Takehara J, et al. Oral parafunctions and association with symptoms of temporomandibular disorders in Japanese university students. J Oral Rehabil 2004;31(6): 518-523.

- 17. Rantala MA, Ahibeng J, Suvinen TI, et al. Temporomandibular joint related painless symptoms, orofacial pain, neck pain, headache, and psychosocial factors among non-patients. Acta Odontol Scand 2003;61(4):217-222.
- Carlsson GE. Epidemiology and treatment need for temporomandibular disorders. J Orofac Pain 1999;13(4):232-237.
- Nilsson IM, Thomas L, Mark D. The reliability and validity of self-reported temporomandibular disorder pain in adolescents. J Orofac Pain 2006;20(2):138-144.
- 20. Okesen JP. Management of temporomandibular disorders and occlusion. 6th ed., St Louis: Mosby Co.;2007:152-153.
- 21. De Kanter RJAM, Truin GJ, Burgersdijk RCW, et al. Prevalence in the Dutch adult population and a meta-analysis of signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders. J Dent Res 1993; 72(11):1509-1518.
- 22. Dworkin SF, Huggins KH, LeResche L, et al. Epidemiology of signs and controls. J Am Dent Assoc 1990;120(3):273-281.
- 23. Kim YR. Epidemiological study of temporomandibular disorders for Korean[Doctor's Thesis]. Seoul:The Graduate school of Seoul National University; 1987.
- 24. Cho MS, Yi SJ. Self-report symptoms for teporo-mandibular disorder and related factors in the high school third grade students. J Korean Soc Dent Hygiene 2011;11(6):853-862
- 25. Feteih RM. Signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders and oral parafunctions in urban Saudi Arabian adolescents: a research report. Head Face Med 2006;2:25.
- 26. Kim ME. A study on TMD and stress of first children. J Korean Soc Dent Hygiene 2010;10(4): 683-693
- 27. Cooper BC, Kleinberg I. Examination of a large

patient population for the presence of symptoms and signs of temporomandibular disorders. Cranio 2007;25(2):114-126.

- 28. Im YH, Baek HS, Kim BG. Correspondence between temporomandibular disorders symptoms and clinical examination findings. Korean J Oral Med 2010;35(1):83-91.
- 29. Chung SC, Lim YH. Functional disorder of the temporomandibular disorders and the masticatory system. Korean J Oral Med 1982;7(1):32-40.
- 30. Farsi NM. Symptoms and signs of temporomandibular disorders and oral parafunctions among Saudi children. J Oral Rehabil 2003; 30(12):1200-1208.
- Dao TT, LeReshe L. Gender differences in pain. J Orofac Pain 2000;14(3):169-184.
- 32. Nassif NJ, Al-Salleeh F, AlAdmawi M. The prevalence and treatment needs of symptoms and signs of temporomandibular disorders among young adult males. J Oral Rehabil 2003;30(9):944-950.
- 33. Gold S, Lipton J, Marbach J, et al. Sites of psychophysiological complaints in MPD patients:
 II. Areas remote from the orofacial region. J Dent Res 1975;54:165
- 34. Um BY, Chung SC. A study on the symptom severity and the behavioral and psychosocial factors of the patients with temporomandibular disorders. Korean J Oral Med 1988;13(1):71-84.
- 35. Bonjardim LR, Gavao MB, Pereira LJ, et al. Anxiety and depression in adolescents and their relationship with signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders. Int J Prosthodont 2005;18(4):347-352.
- 36. Butler JH, Folke LA, Bandt CL. A descriptive survey of signs and symptoms associated with the myofascial pain-dysfunction syndrome. J Am Dent Assoc 1975;90(3):635-639.

574 Temporomandibular disorders and risk factors in office workers, service workers, and teachers

Appendix

Main symptoms of jaw joint

		Y	'es	No
1.	Difficulty or pain on opening mouth	() ()
2.	Getting stuck or locking of jaw	() ()
3.	Difficulty or pain on chewing or talking	() ()
4.	TMJ sounds	() ()
5.	Stiff, tight, tired felling in the jaw	() ()
6.	Pain in or about ears, temples or cheeks	() ()
7.	Change in bite	() ()
8.	Headache or neck pain	() ()
9.	Injury to head, neck or jaw	() ()
10	Treatment for facial pain or jaw joint problem	() ()

Habits related to jaw use

	Ye	es	No
1. Have you a habit of teeth clenching or bruxing?	() ()
2. Have you a habit of biting lips, nails, pencils or foreign objects?	() ()
3. Do you sleep on one side?	() ()
4. Have you a habit of leaning the head or chin on the palm?	() ()
5. Do you chew food on one side?	() ()

Habits related to jaw use

Question	Never	Sometimes	Often	Always
1. I have constant time pressure due to a heavy workload.				
2. Workload is getting increased noticeably.				
3. I have enough time to relax during work time.				
4. I have to do work all at once.				
5. My work is needed creativity.				
6. My work demands a high level of skill or expertise.				
7. My job requires me to take the initiative.				
8. You have a choice in deciding your workload or schedule.				
9. I get help and support from my superiors.				
10. I get help and support from my colleagues.				
11. There is someone who understanding me whenever I'm down.				
12. Things are unstable at work and the future looks uncertain.				
13. I expect to experience an undesirable change in my work situation.				
14. Personnel and work performance appraisal are fair and logical.				
15. People, facilities, equipments and so on are well supported for work.				
16. My department has no trouble and has partnership with other departments.				
17. I have a chance to reflect my thoughts about work.				
18. Considering all my efforts and achievements receive the respect and prestige I deserve at work.				
19. I work hard under the thinking that things will get better with me.				
20. There is an opportunity of improving my ability.				
21. I feel uneasy at office dinners.				
22. I am asked an inconsistent job.				
23. My work environment is authoritative.				
24. I get disadvantages by gender discrimination.				

576 Temporomandibular disorders and risk factors in office workers, service workers, and teachers

About you

1. What is your gender?	Male ()	Female ()	
2. What is your age?	(years old)	
3. What is your marital status?	Single ()	Married ()	
4. How often do you usually use your jaw at work1) Almost none3) Often	a day?2) Occasionally4) Very often				
4-1. If you answer 2), 3), 4), when do you usually1) Speaking2) Oral habits (clenching, biting lips, so on)	use your jaw' 2) Chewing 4) Etc. (?)		
5. Which is your type of work?1) Office worker3) Teacher	2) Service w 4) Etc. (orke	r)		